## Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings of claims in the application. Applicant has submitted a new complete claim set showing marked up claims with insertions indicated by underlining and deletions indicated by strikeouts and/or double bracketing.

## Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A computer-implemented-method for-minimizing effects of outlier data on data modeling comprising:

selecting a modeling parameter from a plurality of modeling parameters characterizing a mixture of Student distribution components;

computing an tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution for the selected modeling parameter based on an input set of data and a current estimate of a posterior distribution of at least one unselected modeling parameter in the plurality of modeling parameters, computing the approximation being performed by a processor calculating

$$\underbrace{q(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{n,m}^{N,M} p_{nm}^{s_m} \, \underline{q}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}\right) = D\left(\boldsymbol{\pi} \middle| \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right) \underline{q}\left(\mathbf{p}_m\right) = N\left(\mathbf{p}_m \middle| \boldsymbol{m}_m, \mathbf{R}_m\right) \underline{q}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m\right) = W\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m \middle| \mathbf{n}_m, \boldsymbol{N}_m\right) \underline{q}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m\right) = W\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m \middle| \mathbf{n}_m, \boldsymbol{N}_m\right) \underline{q}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m\right) = G\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{nm} \middle| \boldsymbol{a}_{nm}, \boldsymbol{b}_{nm}\right);$$

computing a lower bound of a log marginal likelihood as a function of current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters, the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters including the computed tractable approximation of the posterior distribution of the selected modeling parameter;

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

Application Number: 10/724,586 Filing Date: November 28, 2003 determining if the lower bound has been satisfactorily optimized, wherein the lower bound is satisfactorily optimized when the computed lower bound has changed

less than a threshold amount from a previously computed lower bound;

generating a probability density modeling the input set of data, the probability

density including the mixture of Student distribution components, the mixture of

Student distribution components being characterized by the current estimates of the  $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$ 

posterior distributions of the modeling parameters, when the lower bound is

satisfactorily optimized; and

outputting the probability density, and

outputting a number of speakers from the probability density.

2. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing

selecting a different modeling parameter from the plurality of modeling

operations comprise a first iteration and further comprising:

parameters and repeating in a subsequent iteration the operations of computing an

tractable-approximation and computing a lower bound using the newly selected

modeling parameter, if-when the lower bound is not satisfactorily optimized in the first

iteration.

3. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein computing a lower bound

comprises:

computing the lower bound of the log marginal likelihood as a function of prior

distributions of the modeling parameters.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

Filing Date: November 28, 2003

3/28

4. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1 wherein computing an

tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution comprises:

computing a variational approximation of the posterior distribution of the

selected modeling parameter.

5. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a mean of each of the Student distribution components.

6. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a precision matrix of the Student distribution components.

7. (Cancelled).

8. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a scaling parameter of a precision matrix of the Student

distribution components.

9. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a mixing coefficients parameter of the Student distribution

components.

10. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein generating a probability density

comprises:

generating the probability density including the mixture of Student distribution

components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters and an estimate of the number of degrees of freedom of each Student distribution component.

11. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising:

storing the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters in a storage location.

- 12. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the input set of data represents auditory speech data from an unknown number of speakers.
  - 13. (Canceled).
- 14. (Currently amended) A computer program product encoding a computer program for executing on a computer system a computer process for minimizing effects of outlier data on data modeling, the computer process comprising:

selecting a modeling parameter from a plurality of modeling parameters characterizing a mixture of Student distribution components;

computing an tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution for the selected modeling parameter based on an input set of data and a current estimate of a posterior distribution of at least one unselected modeling parameter in the plurality of modeling parameters, the current estimate being computed using  $p(\mathbf{s}|\pi) = \prod_{i=1}^{NM} \pi_{m}^{i}$ ,

$$p\left(\mathbf{\mu}_{\scriptscriptstyle{m}}\right) = N \left(\mathbf{\mu}_{\scriptscriptstyle{m}} \middle| \mathbf{m}, \rho \mathbf{I}\right) \cdot p\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\scriptscriptstyle{m}}\right) = W \left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\scriptscriptstyle{m}} \middle| \mathbf{W}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}, \eta_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}\right) \cdot \text{or } p\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}\right) = D \left(\boldsymbol{\pi} \middle| \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right);$$

computing a lower bound of a log marginal likelihood as a function of current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters, the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters including the

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111
Application Number: 10/724,586
Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

computed tractable approximation of the posterior distribution of the selected modeling parameter:

determining if the lower bound has been satisfactorily optimized, wherein the lower bound is satisfactorily optimized when the computed lower bound has changed less than a threshold amount from a previously computed lower bound:

generating a probability density modeling the input set of data, the probability density including the mixture of Student distribution components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters, when the lower bound is satisfactorily optimized; and

outputting the probability density; and outputting a number of clusters from the probability density.

15. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 14
wherein the computing operations comprise a first iteration and further comprising:
selecting a different modeling parameter from the plurality of modeling
parameters and repeating in a subsequent iteration the operations of computing an

tractable approximation and computing a lower bound using the newly selected modeling parameter, if when the lower bound is not satisfactorily optimized in the first

iteration.

16. (Original) The computer program product of claim 14 wherein computing a lower bound comprises:

computing the lower bound of the log marginal likelihood as a function of prior distributions of the modeling parameters.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111
Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

17. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 14

wherein computing a tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution comprises:

computing a variational approximation of the posterior distribution of the selected modeling parameter.

18. (Original) The computer program product of claim 14 wherein one of the

plurality of modeling parameters represents a mean of each of the Student distribution

components.

19. (Original) The computer program product of claim 14 wherein one of the

plurality of modeling parameters represents a precision matrix of the Student

distribution components.

20. (Cancelled).

21. (Original) The computer program product of claim 14 wherein one of the

plurality of modeling parameters represents a scaling parameter of a precision matrix of

the Student distribution components.

22. (Original) The computer program product of claim 14 wherein one of the

plurality of modeling parameters represents a mixing coefficients parameter of the

Student distribution components.

23. (Original) The computer program product of claim 14 wherein generating a

probability density comprises:

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

generating the probability density including the mixture of Student distribution

components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the

current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters and an estimate of the degrees of freedom of each Student distribution component.

24. (Original) The computer program product of claim 14 wherein the computer

process further comprises:

storing the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling

parameters in a storage location.

25. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of claim 14

wherein the input set of data represents auditory speech data from an unknown number

of speakers, and wherein the number of clusters corresponds to the unknown number of

speakers.

26. (Previously presented) The computer program product of claim 14

wherein the input set of data represents image segmentation data from images.

27. (Currently amended)

A system for minimizing effects of outlier data on

data modeling comprising:

a processor;

a memory;

a modeling parameter selector operable with the processor and memory to

selecting a modeling parameter from a plurality of modeling parameters characterizing

a mixture of Student distribution components;

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

an tractable-approximation module computing an tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution for the selected modeling parameter based on an input set of data and a current estimate of a posterior distribution of at least one unselected modeling parameter in the plurality of modeling parameters;

a lower bound optimizer module computing a lower bound of a log marginal likelihood as a function of current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters <u>using</u>  $L\left(q\dot{q}\right) \equiv \int q\left(\theta\right) \ln\left\{\frac{p\left(\mathbf{X},\theta\right)}{q\left(\theta\right)}\right\} d\theta \leq \ln p\left(\mathbf{X}\right)$ , the current

estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters including the computed tractable-approximation of the posterior distribution of the selected modeling parameter, and determining if the lower bound has been satisfactorily optimized, wherein the lower bound is satisfactorily optimized when the computed lower bound has changed less than a threshold amount from a previously computed lower bound;

a data model generator generating a probability density modeling the input set of data, the probability density including the mixture of Student distribution components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters, when the lower bound is satisfactorily optimized: and

an output device outputting the probability density <u>and outputting a number of</u> clusters from the probability density.

28. (Original) The system of claim 27 wherein the lower bound optimizer computes the lower bound of the log marginal likelihood as a function of prior distributions of the modeling parameters.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586 Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

29. (Currently amended) The system of claim 27 wherein the tractable

approximation module computes a variational approximation of the posterior

distribution of the selected modeling parameter.

30. (Original) The system of claim 27 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a mean of each of the Student distribution components.

31. (Original) The system of claim 27 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a precision matrix of the Student distribution components.

32. (Cancelled).

33. (Original) The system of claim 27 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a scaling parameter of a precision matrix of the Student

distribution components.

34. (Original) The system of claim 27 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a mixing coefficients parameter of the Student distribution

components.

35. (Original) The system of claim 27 wherein the data model generator

generates the probability density including the mixture of Student distribution

components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the

current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters and an

estimate of the degrees of freedom of each Student distribution component.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

36. (Original) The system of claim 27 further comprising:

a memory storing the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters.

- 37. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 27 wherein the input set of data represents auditory speech data from an unknown number of speakers, and wherein the number of clusters corresponds to the unknown number of speakers.
- 38. (Previously presented) The system of claim 27 wherein the input set of data represents image segmentation data from images.
- 39. (Currently amended) A computer\_implemented\_method for minimizing effects of outlier\_data on data modeling-comprising:

computing an tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution for a selected modeling parameter of a plurality of modeling parameters characterizing a mixture of Student distribution components based on an input set of data and a current estimate of a posterior distribution of at least one unselected modeling parameter in the plurality of modeling parameters, wherein computing the approximation is performed by a

$$\underbrace{ processor \ calculating}_{processor \ calculating} \underbrace{ q(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{n,m}^{NM} p_{nm}^{s_{nm}}}_{+} \underbrace{ q\left(\pi\right) = D\left(\pi|\alpha\right)}_{-} \underbrace{ q\left(\mathbf{\mu}_{m}\right) = N\left(\mathbf{\mu}_{m}|m_{m},\mathbf{R}_{m}\right)}_{+} \underbrace{ q\left(\Lambda_{m}\right) = W\left(\Lambda_{n}|\mathbf{g}W_{m},\eta_{m}\right)}_{+} \underbrace{ or \ q\left(u_{nm}\right) = G\left(u_{nm}|a_{nm},b_{nm}\right)}_{+};$$

determining whether current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters are satisfactorily optimized in relation to a predetermined criterion, the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters including the computed tractable-approximation of the posterior distribution of the selected modeling parameter:

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.1111
Application Number: 10/724.586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

modeling the input set of data by the mixture of Student distribution

components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the

current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters;  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{and}}$ 

outputting the modeling of the input set of data; and

outputting a number of clusters from the probability density.

40. (Currently amended) The method of claim 39 wherein the computing

operation and determining operation comprise a first iteration and further comprising:

selecting a different modeling parameter from the plurality of modeling

parameters and repeating in a subsequent iteration the operations of computing a  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

tractable-approximation and computing a lower bound using the newly selected

modeling parameter, if  $\underline{\text{when}}$  the lower bound is not satisfactorily optimized in the first

iteration.

41. (Previously presented) The method of claim 39 wherein the operation of

 $\ \, \text{determining whether current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling}$ 

parameters are satisfactorily optimized comprises:

computing a lower bound of the log marginal likelihood as a function of prior

distributions of the modeling parameters and a variational posterior distribution; and

determining whether the lower bound satisfies the predetermined criterion of the

selected modeling parameter.

42. (Currently amended) The method of claim 39 wherein computing a

tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution comprises:

computing a variational approximation of the posterior distribution.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

43. (Original) The method of claim 39 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a mean of each of the Student distribution components.

44. (Original) The method of claim 39 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a precision matrix of the Student distribution components.

45. (Cancelled).

46. (Original) The method of claim 39 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a scaling parameter of a precision matrix of the Student

distribution components.

47. (Original) The method of claim 39 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a mixing coefficients parameter of the Student distribution

components.

48. (Original) The method of claim 39 wherein modeling the input data

comprises:

generating the probability density including the mixture of Student distribution

components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the

current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters and an

estimate of the degrees of freedom of each Student distribution component.

49. (Original) The method of claim 39 further comprising:

storing the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling

parameters in a storage location.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

50. (Currently amended) A computer program product encoding a computer program for executing on a computer system a computer process for minimizing effects of outlier data on data modeling, the computer process comprising:

computing an tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution for a selected modeling parameter of a plurality of modeling parameters characterizing a mixture of Student distribution components based on an input set of data and a current estimate of a posterior distribution of at least one unselected modeling parameter in the plurality of modeling parameters, computing the approximation being performed by a processor

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{calculating}} \ q(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{n,m}^{N,M} p_{nm}^{i_{nm}} \ \underline{\quad} q(\pi) = D\left(\pi|\alpha\right) \underline{\quad} q\left(\mathbf{\mu}_{m}\right) = N \ \left(\mathbf{\mu}_{m}|m_{m},\mathbf{R}_{m}\right) \underline{\quad} \\ q\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{m}\right) = W \ \left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{m}|\mathbf{W}_{m},\eta_{m}\right) \underline{\quad} \underline{\quad} \text{or} \ q\left(u_{nm}\right) = G\left(u_{nm}|a_{nm},b_{nm}\right); \end{array}$$

determining whether current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters are satisfactorily optimized in relation to a predetermined criterion, the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters including the computed tractable-approximation of the posterior distribution of the selected modeling parameter;

modeling the input set of data by the mixture of Student distribution components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters; and

outputting the modeling of the input set of data; and outputting a number of clusters from the probability density.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586 Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

51. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 50

wherein the computing operation and determining operation comprise a first iteration

and further comprising:

selecting a different modeling parameter from the plurality of modeling

parameters and repeating in a subsequent iteration the operations of computing a  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

tractable-approximation and computing a lower bound using the newly selected

modeling parameter, if when the lower bound is not satisfactorily optimized in the first

iteration.

52. (Previously presented) The computer program product of claim 50

wherein the operation of determining whether current estimates of the posterior

distributions of the modeling parameters are satisfactorily optimized comprises:

computing a lower bound of the log marginal likelihood as a function of prior

distributions of the modeling parameters and a variational posterior distribution; and

determining whether the lower bound satisfies the predetermined criterion.

53. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 50

wherein computing an tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution comprises:

computing a variational approximation of the posterior distribution of the

selected modeling parameter.

54. (Original) The computer program product of claim 50 wherein one of the

plurality of modeling parameters represents a mean of each of the Student distribution

components.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

55. (Original) The computer program product of claim 50 wherein one of the plurality of modeling parameters represents a precision matrix of the Student

distribution components.

56. (Cancelled).

57. (Original) The computer program product of claim 50 wherein one of the

plurality of modeling parameters represents a scaling parameter of a precision matrix of

the Student distribution components.

58. (Original) The computer program product of claim 50 wherein one of the

plurality of modeling parameters represents a mixing coefficients parameter of the

Student distribution components.

59. (Original) The computer program product of claim 50 wherein modeling the

input data comprises:

generating the probability density including the mixture of Student distribution

components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the

current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters and an

estimate of the degrees of freedom of each Student distribution component.

60. (Original) The computer program product of claim 50 wherein the computer

process further comprises:

storing the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling

parameters in a storage location.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

Filing Date: November 28, 2003

16/28

61. (Currently amended) A system for minimizing effects of outlier data on data modeling-comprising:

a processor;

a memory:

a tractable-approximation module\_operable with the processor and memory to computing-compute an tractable-approximation of a posterior distribution for a selected modeling parameter of a plurality of modeling parameters characterizing a mixture of Student distribution components based on an input set of data and a current estimate of a posterior distribution of at least one unselected modeling parameter in the plurality of modeling parameters by calculating  $q(s) = \prod_{n,m}^{N,M} p_{\text{inn}}^{r_m} \underbrace{q(\pi) = D(\pi|\alpha)}_{a}$ 

modeling parameters by calculating 
$$q(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{n,m} P_{mm}^{cm} = q(\pi) = D(\pi|\alpha)$$
.
$$q(\mathbf{\mu}_m) = N(\mathbf{\mu}_m|\mathbf{m}_m, \mathbf{R}_m) = q(\mathbf{\Lambda}_m) = W(\mathbf{\Lambda}_m|\mathbf{m}_m, \mathbf{\eta}_m) = G(u_{nm}|a_{nm}, b_{nm});$$

an optimizer module determining whether current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters are satisfactorily optimized in relation to a predetermined criterion, the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters including the computed tractable approximation of the posterior distribution of the selected modeling parameter:

a data model generator modeling the input set of data by the mixture of Student distribution components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters; and

an output device outputting the modeling of the input set of data <u>and outputting</u> a number of clusters from the probability density.

62. (Previously presented) The system of claim 61 wherein optimizer module computes a lower bound of the log marginal likelihood as a function of prior

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111
Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01 Filing Date: November 28, 2003

17/28

distributions of the modeling parameters and a variational posterior distribution, and

determines whether the lower bound satisfies the predetermined criterion.

63. (Currently amended) The system of claim 61 wherein the tractable

approximation modules computes a variational approximation of the posterior

distribution of the selected modeling parameter.

64. (Original) The system of claim 61 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a mean of each of the Student distribution components.

65. (Original) The system of claim 61 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a precision matrix of the Student distribution components.

66. (Cancelled).

67. (Original) The system of claim 61 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a scaling parameter of a precision matrix of the Student

distribution components.

68. (Original) The system of claim 61 wherein one of the plurality of modeling

parameters represents a mixing coefficients parameter of the Student distribution

components.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01

69. (Original) The system of claim 61 wherein modeling the input data

comprises:

generating the probability density including the mixture of Student distribution

components, the mixture of Student distribution components being characterized by the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the modeling parameters and an

estimate of the degrees of freedom of each Student distribution component.

70. (Original) The system of claim 61 further comprising:

a memory storing the current estimates of the posterior distributions of the

modeling parameters.

71. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1 further comprising wherein

populating the input set of data includes with only observed data.

Type of Response: AMENDMENT under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Application Number: 10/724,586

Attorney Docket Number: 305414.01